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Can transverse dunes move sideways? Secondary flow deflection in the lee of transverse dunes with implications for dune alignment and migration
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Measurements of lee-side airflow response from an extensive array of meteorological instruments combined with smoke and flow streamer visualization is used to examine the development and morphodynamic significance of the lee-side separation vortex over closely spaced transverse dune ridges. A differential deflection mechanism is presented that explains the three-dimensional pattern of lee-side airflow structure for a variety of incident flow angles. These flow patterns produce reversed, along-dune and deflected surface sand transport in the lee that result in a net ‘lateral diversion’ of sand mass transport over one dune wavelength for incident angles as small as 10° from crest-transverse (i.e., 80° from the crest line). This lateral displacement in fluid mass transport increases markedly with incident angle, when expressed as the absolute value of the total deflection in degrees. Reversed flow and multidirectional sand transport occur for incident angles between 90 and 50°. These results document the three-dimensional nature of flow and sand transport over transverse dunes and provide empirical evidence for an oblique migration model that challenges the applicability of the ‘gross bedform-normal’ rule for explaining transverse dune morphodynamics and migration.
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INTRODUCTION

The relations between the near-surface airflow field (i.e., height < 10 m), particularly complex secondary lee-side flows, and sediment transport over dunes remain an elusive challenge for modeling dune morphodynamics and migration (Walker and Nickling, 2002; Baddock et al., 2007; Livingstone et al., 2007). It is known that dune form, alignment, and spacing are controlled by the magnitude, frequency, and directionality of transporting surface wind (e.g. Bagnold, 1941; Fryberger and Dean, 1979; Lancaster, 1983; Bullard et al., 1996; Ould Ahmedou et al., 2007) as well as sediment characteristics (size and sorting) (Wilson, 1972) and availability (Wasson and Hyde, 1983), moisture effects (Kocurek et al., 1992), topographic barriers (McCauley and Breed, 1980), and the presence of vegetation (Hesp, 1981; 1983; Wiggs et al., 1996). Other studies have linked dune alignment to coherent atmospheric circulation patterns such as Ekman spirals (Hanna, 1969; Mabbut et al., 1969; Warren, 1976) and to the strongest transporting winds in the regime (Glennie, 1970). Ultimately, however, dune alignment and migration is the net result of complex, three dimensional sand transport patterns driven by all competent surface winds – including secondary lee-side flows (Sweet and Kocurek, 1990; Frank and Kocurek, 1996; Walker and Nickling, 2002; Baddock et al., 2007; Livingstone et al., 2007; Baddock et al., 2011; Weaver and Wiggs, 2011). Nearly 30 years ago, Rubin and Hunter (1985), and later Hesp et al. (1989) and Rubin (1990), observed that longitudinal dunes can migrate laterally, contrary to the prevailing thought that such dunes advance downwind, or else extend at the terminal end (e.g. Bagnold, 1941; Tsoar, 1983). To date, however, there is limited research that links deflected airflow and sediment flux in the lee of transverse dunes to their morphodynamics and migration (Walker, 1999; Walker and Nickling, 2002; Baddock et al., 2007).

Morphodynamically, dunes are often classified based on their orientation to some resultant transport direction as transverse (perpendicular to the transport direction), longitudinal (transport-parallel), and oblique (15 – 75° to the resultant transport direction) forms (Fryberger...
Fryberger and Dean's (1979) widely cited global assessment attributed the development and maintenance of specific dune types to the ratio of the resultant vector of sand transport, or drift potential (RDP), to total drift potential from all wind directions (DP). Fryberger and Dean found that simpler dune forms (e.g., barchans, transverse ridges) develop in less variable wind regimes with high sand transport potential (RDP/DP) while more complex dunes (e.g., star dunes) occur in highly variable wind regimes where the transport potential is lower.

Regional winds used to describe dune form using Fryberger and Dean's (1979) technique are usually measured at a standard height of 10 m, often from locations tens to hundreds of kilometers away from actual dune features (Hunter et al., 1983; Carson and MacLean, 1986). However, localized secondary airflow patterns such as flow deflection, topographic steering, and flow separation and reversal, often diverge greatly from the regional trend (e.g., Pearce and Walker, 2005; Lynch et al., 2008; 2009; Walker et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2011) and can be competent enough to drive deflected interdune sand transport (Walker, 1999) and, thus, contribute to three-dimensional variations in dune sediment budgets. Thus, simple regional assessments of wind regime – dune form relations may be insufficient as they do not accommodate more complex natural flow – form – transport interactions (Walker and Nickling, 2002).

This has generated some debate in the literature on wind regime – dune form relations (Hunter et al., 1983; Carson and MacLean, 1985; Hunter et al., 1985; Carson and MacLean, 1986). For instance, Carson and McLean (1986) noted an increase in oblique dune size in a reversing wind regime toward the direction of an along-dune oriented resultant transport vector (shown in their Figure 5). This complicated their interpretation of these dunes as true flow-transverse forms and they suggested the term 'hybrid' dunes as both longitudinal and transverse transport processes appeared to be contributing to their morphodynamics. This is essentially synonymous with the 'oblique' dunes documented earlier by Hunter et al. (1983) in coastal Oregon. In either
case, it is possible that the resultant sand transport vector (RDP), driven by winds transverse or slightly oblique to the dune crest, may actually align more so with deflected, along-dune oriented lee-side secondary flow patterns. Sand transport by such secondary winds may be responsible for the downwind ‘bulking’ of Carson and MacLean’s dunes (1986, see p.1983-1984).

Other studies have shown that directional variability (i.e., dominant modes in the regional wind regime) control dune morphodynamics and alignment. For instance, Rubin and Hunter (1987) and Rubin and Ikeda (1990) showed that dune alignment follows a gross bedform-normal rule such that, under variable flow directions, bedforms align so as to maximize the amount of crestline-normal transport. In other words, bedforms orient as transverse as possible to the resultant of all bedform-normal transport components and not necessarily to the resultant transport vector. Rubin and Ikeda (1990) stressed that opposing vector components in the transport regime should not be cancelled out (as in the RDP approach) as they may both be formative in maintaining a single dune orientation. For instance, transverse forms are maintained for divergence angles (i.e., angle between dominant direction modes) up to 90° or equal to 180° (i.e., a reversing regime), or when transport is dominated by one direction mode.

Longitudinal dunes often orient with the dune axis within 15° of the mean wind direction (Hunter et al., 1983) and are maintained in bi-modal wind regimes with divergence angles >90° providing equivalent transport in both directions. As such, dune-parallel wind flow is not a requirement for longitudinal bedform maintenance (Lancaster, 1982; Tsoar, 1983; Rubin and Ikeda, 1990). Transitional, or oblique dunes occur for intermediate divergence angles from 90 to 112.5° (or ‘obtuse-bimodal’ per Fryberger and Dean, 1979) and are controlled by both transverse and along-dune oriented transport processes (Carson and MacLean, 1986). Rubin and Ikeda (1990) conclude that, despite alignment, all free dune forms are governed by the same essential dynamics in that they will orient as perpendicular as possible to the maximum bedform-normal components of transport. As such, divergence between directional modes and the ratio of sand
transport by these modes is more important in dune maintenance and migration than the net resultant vector.

As recognized by Fryberger and Dean (1979, p. 145), however, it is important to note that the regional scale sand transport regime assessments provide only a first order estimate of the transport regime and are unable to incorporate other key transport- and supply-limiting factors (including grain size, soil moisture, vegetation, surface roughness) as has been explored by subsequent research on aeolian sand transport potential and dune mobility (e.g., Muhs and Maat, 1993; Gaylord and Stetler, 1994; Muhs and Holliday, 1995; Wiggs et al., 1995; Bullard et al., 1996; Wolfe, 1997; Tsoar and Illenberger, 1998; Kocurek and Lancaster, 1999; Muhs and Wolfe, 1999; Lancaster and Helm, 2000; Tsoar, 2002). These factors are particularly important when examining regional to landform-scale characterizations of sand transport behaviour in vegetated, temperate and/or coastal environments (Pearce and Walker, 2005; Lynch et al., 2008; 2009; Walker et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2011).

Emphasis has shifted from research on regional scale flow regime – dune form relations to examining landform scale interactions between near-surface secondary flow and resulting sand transport patterns that drive dune morphodynamics in both coastal and desert settings (e.g., Tsoar, 1983; Howard, 1985; Hesp et al., 1989; Rasmussen, 1989; Cooke et al., 1993; Arens et al., 1995; Lancaster, 1995; Hesp and Hyde, 1996; Wiggs, 2001; Walker and Nickling, 2002; Baddock et al., 2007; Ould Ahmedou et al., 2007; Lynch et al., 2008; 2009; Walker et al., 2009; Weaver and Wiggs, 2011). Despite this recognition, very few models of secondary flow and dune morphodynamics exist, particularly on how these flow and sand transport patterns change with the incident flow angle and what this means for dune sediment budgets and migration (Walker and Nickling, 2002; Hesp and Walker, In press). Instead, most existing models of flow over bedforms view the system as two-dimensional and consider only limited flow conditions (i.e., transverse, unseparated) over relatively isolated dunes (Sweet and Kocurek, 1990; Frank and Kocurek, 1996;
However, in nature secondary flows and closely spaced dune configurations generate mass and energy transfers that are three-dimensional. For instance, Walker (1999) showed that appreciable sand transport can occur in deflected, reversed, and along-dune directions within the interdune and cautioned that secondary lee flows create additional mass transport components that must be considered for sediment continuity and, hence, for interpretation of dune dynamics, maintenance, and migration.

This study measures and examines the responses of three-dimensional lee-side flow structure and interdune sand transport to changes in incident flow angle. Flow visualization and concurrent measurements of lee-side sediment transport over the same dune (Walker, 1999) are used to show a lateral diversion of sand mass transfer over flow-transverse dunes. From this, a differential deflection mechanism is presented that explains secondary lee-side flow structure implications for dune maintenance and migration. An empirical model for oblique migration of transverse dunes is presented that challenges the applicability of the ‘gross bedform-normal’ rule for explaining dune morphodynamics. The convention to describe flow direction for this study is such that incident wind direction, i, measured at the crest of the dune is transverse to the crestline at 90° and parallel to the crestline at 0° (west) or 180° (east). When discussing deflected flow, d, in the lee of the study dune on the stoss slope of the downwind dune, we use the same coordinate system as for incident flow. For example, incident flow transverse to the crest (i = 90°) might be deflected 10° (d = 100°) in the lee.

METHODS

Wind speed and direction were measured along two crest-transverse transects spaced approximately 18 m apart in the lee of a small reversing dune in the Silver Peak dunefield, Clayton Valley, west-central Nevada (Walker, 1999) (Figure 1). Dune geometry differed slightly between sampling transects, as the east dune profile was slightly larger (h = 1.36 m) than the west (h = 1.2...
The study dune was located within a successive grouping of similarly sized (h = 1 to 2 m), unvegetated, transverse ridges composed of fine sands (D = 150 µm) and oriented transverse (75° or approximately E-W) to a relatively consistent north-south reversing wind regime. During the period of study the dune profile had a sharp crestline with a distinct, southward facing lee slope.

Each instrument transect extended 12 m leeward and perpendicular to the crest and consisted of 25 RM Young cup anemometers and six wind vanes strategically located to capture windspeed and direction variations in the immediate lee (Figures 2, 3). Instruments were extended on 1.2-m booms from one of four aluminum masts and were connected to dataloggers via cable. Near-surface anemometers were placed at 0.3 m to characterize transporting windspeeds (u0.3).

Further details of the study site and sampling design are discussed in Walker (1999; 2000).

Extended periods of consistent winds ranging in duration from 1 to 10 hours were sampled at 1 Hz and recorded as one-minute averages by datalogger. All speeds were normalized by an outer windspeed (u10h) measured at 12.4 m (approximately 10h) above the interdune datum atop an observation tower located between the sampling transects, where wind speeds ranged from 2 to 15 m s⁻¹ during the period of study. Incident flow speed and direction data were also measured at 3.8 m above the dune on the crest profile (i.e., u5.2h on east and u4.6h on west profiles, respectively). In total, 45 events were recorded over a 15-day period in May 1997 to yield an extensive dataset of over 22,000 minutes of flow measurements for a variety of incident flow speeds and directions. Contiguous transporting flow events (i.e., above an assumed transport threshold of 6 m s⁻¹) ranging 7 to 24 minutes in duration and spanning incident flow angles were identified (Table 1). These events all occurred on the same day and, as such, dune form remained essentially constant between events.

Flow patterns during the events presented here were also visualized using smoke tracers and a vertical array of streamer flags (Figure 4), which was essential for reconstructing lee side flow structure within the measurement array. A transect of 9 flow streamer towers was erected 2 m...
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east of a 12-m observation tower in the centre of the study site (Figure 2b). The streamer towers were spaced 1 m apart and extended leeward from the crestline. Each tower was 3 m tall and had 5 streamer flags each. Flow streamer behaviour in plan view at different heights above the interdune surface was recorded by video camera from atop the observation tower in the centre of the instrument array. Smoke tracer patterns were photographed in profile from the interdune corridor. Both sources of flow visualization were used to qualitatively confirm measured flow responses and help construct the extent and behaviour of interpreted flow patterns from the instrument array.

RESULTS

Time-averaged velocity profiles

Time-averaged, normalized wind speed profiles for six locations on each dune transect are shown in Figure 5. For clarity, data from only three events (2, 4, and 7) ranging from transverse \( (i \approx 90^\circ) \) to crest-parallel \( (i \approx 180^\circ) \) are shown. Summary statistics are provided in Table 1. Profile gradient responses provide a good relative indicator of momentum extraction and fluid shear generated by the form as well as subsequent internal boundary layer redevelopment after reattachment.

For relatively transverse \( (\text{event 2, } i \approx 90^\circ) \) and slightly oblique \( (\text{event 4, } i \approx 110^\circ) \) winds, flow over the crest was nearly uniform with increasing height above the surface for both east and west transects, whereas for crest-parallel flow \( (\text{event 7, } i \approx 180^\circ) \), a slightly kinked velocity profile was observed over the crest on both transects. On the east profile, the inflection point occurred only 0.7 m above the crest surface \( (1.5h \text{ above interdune datum}) \) for event 7, while on the west profile, it occurred at 1.6 m above the surface \( (2.4h) \). On the east profile, the crest-parallel incident flow
(event 7) was fastest at the highest sensor, 3.8 m above the crest surface (5.2h), while on the west profile, crest-parallel incident flow was slightly less than the transverse incident flow (4.6h, event 2).

All three events shown in Figure 5 exhibited similar general trends in lee-side flow profile response, although magnitudes differed. Flow visualization confirmed that flow separation and intermittent reversal was present for all transverse wind events. In general, crest-parallel incident flows were faster over lee slope (near-surface) and stoss locations than other flows. Lee slope profiles for crest-transverse and oblique flows were inflected with a very steep gradient below dune height. This is due to flow separation and wake sheltering effects and indicates a region of high shear along the line of separation. The speed-height gradient was steeper for transverse incident flow (event 2) than for crest-parallel incident flow (event 7). On the east profile, near-surface flow was slowest in event 2, and fastest for event 7. Higher into the flow over the lee slope of the east profile, flow during event 7 (crest-parallel incident flow) was only marginally slower than other incident conditions. Over the lee slope of the west profile, near-surface speeds were generally faster than over the east transect, but during event 4 (initially slightly oblique to the crest), flow was slightly faster than during crest-parallel incident flow (event 7). As on the east profile, flow during event 7 had the shallowest gradient.

The well-developed separation cell extended to about the stoss base, at which point the flow returned to a linear velocity profile. Flow visualization and onsite ripple patterns revealed that flow reattachment occurred between the interdune and stoss base locations on the east transect and further downwind between the stoss base and lower stoss I profiles on the west transect.

Lee-side flow vectors

Time-averaged flow vectors were derived from direction and speed data obtained at locations in the flow field where a wind vane and anemometer were co-located (see Figures 2, 3b). These vectors and associated summary statistics are displayed in plan view (Figures 6-8) and
provide useful indications of flow variability and directional response at different heights in the secondary flow region compared to outer flow above the dune crest.

Figure 6 shows lee-side flow vector response for three crest-transverse events that increase in speed (events 1-3). Incident flow speeds at the top of the crest profiles ($U_{s,2h}$ on east, $U_{s,5h}$ on west) ranged from 0.84 – 0.92 of the outer flow ($10h$) and variability was less than 10% (i.e., $CV_u < 0.10$). Incident direction ranged ±2° from transverse (e.g., $i = 88$ to $92°$) and directional variability was less than 6° for all events. Overall variability in direction increased with incident speed although steadiness in flow speed was highest at faster speeds (see $CV_v$ values in Figure 6c).

Near-surface ($z = 0.3$ m) vectors on the lee slope for these events show net downwind flow with bi- or multi-modal directional variation indicating flow deflection and unsteadiness. Intermittent upslope (reversed) flow was observed at these locations but is not reflected in the vectors because of the relatively long (1 min.) averaging intervals. Speeds on the lee slope ranged approximately 30 to 70% that of the outer flow at $10h$ and were slower on the east transect due to the increased sheltering offered by the taller dune. This sheltering effect increased with incident speed at all lee slope locations. The amount of flow deflection on the lee slope also increased with speed and dune height.

There are two flow vector measurement points on the interdune and stoss base profiles that are staggered slightly for strategic placement within the separation region (see Figures 2, 3b).

The interdune vectors, located just downwind of the lee slope base, characterized flow in the core of the separation cell ($2^{nd}$ sensor, 0.8 m above surface) and just above crest height ($4^{th}$ sensor, 1.8 m). Vectors on the stoss base profile were located on the toe of the downwind dune the surface (0.3 m) and just above dune height (1.3 m). Over the interdune, flow at half-dune height was deflected more than that on the lee slope surface. Variability in direction at this location increased with incident speed and reversed flow occurred within the separation cell at the highest incident speed on the west transect (Figure 6c). Highly variable, multi-modal reversed flow was also...
observed just above dune height (“upper” arrow) at this location for all events. The high SD° values at this location (particularly on the west transect) indicate high turbulence along the shear zone, as described above from Figure 5. Speeds above the separation cell in the interdune were comparable to incident speeds 3.8 m above the crest ($u_{5.2h}$ and $u_{4.6h}$ for east and west profiles, respectively) and, in general, were faster than at the stoss base location downwind due to flow expansion (and deceleration) downwind of separation at the crest.

Surface vectors at the toe of the downwind dune (stoss base) were multi-modal on the east transect and strongly oblique to incident flow. Flow visualization and surface ripple patterns showed that this location was just downwind of flow re-attachment. Upper and half-height flow vectors were also multi-modal but more aligned sub-parallel to incident flow. Half-height vectors on the west transect were deflected more than those on the east transect. These vectors show that near-surface deflection is greater (at the toe of the downwind dune, or near the point of re-attachment) over the taller dune (east) and that this pattern increased with faster incident speeds. This suggests that the flow separation cell was slightly larger over the taller dune and for faster wind speeds, as expected. Flow direction above this location (upper height) was less variable and less deflected than at half-height. The lower stoss vector (furthest downwind), which was higher above the surface, showed low variability in direction, was aligned more crest-transverse, and had slower relative speeds than the stoss base location. This indicates flow direction returning to crest-transverse up the stoss of the downwind dune.

Figure 7 shows lee-side flow vector response for two oblique flow events with incident angles of approximately 110° (event 4, Figure 7a) and 130° (event 5, Figure 7b). These events show that the amount of flow deflection on the lee slope increased with the angle of obliquity (approximately doubling with a twofold increase in obliquity angle from transverse) and for the taller dune (e.g., from 24° to 40° on the taller east transect compared to only 3° to 7° on the shorter west transect, for the 110° and 130° events, respectively). Thus, under the same incident flow
conditions, deflection of oblique flows was greater over the larger dune and the angle of deflection on the lee slope was approximately the same as the angle from transverse. For example, for event 4 with an angle of ~20° from transverse over the crest of the east dune transect (i = 111°), the flow was deflected ~25° (d = 135°), while for event 5, with an angle of ~40° from transverse over the crest (i = 131°), the flow was deflected 40° (d = 171°). Overall directional variability for both events is very low (SD° < 11°) compared to the transverse condition (SD° ??). Flow vector orientations in the lee slope through stoss slope regions show that flow deflection was greatest near the surface (reaching a maximum at the stoss base surface location) and decreased with height and beyond the interdune toward the downwind dune. The half height sensor on the west transect malfunctioned partway through data collection, so those vectors are not shown for events 4–7 inclusive (Figures 7, 8).

Flow vector response for highly oblique to crest-parallel events 6 (i ≈ 145°) and 7 (i = 0°) are shown in Figure 8. Event 6 exhibited a similar deflection trend as events 4 and 5. Although the absolute magnitudes of deflection angles were greater, they generally decreased with height and distance beyond the interdune. Lee slope flow deflected by about 30° on the taller (east) dune (i = 146°, d = 177°) but was deflected negligibly over the shorter (west) dune (i = 146°, d = 147°). Normalized windspeeds elsewhere in the lee (from anemometer-only locations that could not be converted to vectors, see Figure 5a for velocity profiles) were faster than crest values at all locations beyond the lee slope on the east transect and in the immediate lee and interdune regions on the west transect. Near-surface lee slope speeds during this event were 0.59 and 0.84 that of the outer flow on east and west transects respectively. Speeds and flow deflection were greatest within the interdune to stoss base regions on both transects, which indicates strong along-dune flow within the interdune corridor.

Flow direction for event 7 (Figure 8b) was aligned approximately parallel to the crest and unlike all other events was from the west (i = 2°-3°). All lee-side locations recorded faster flow than...
those near the crest surface and the west transect showed lee-side deflection back toward the
dune and up the lee slope on the west transect (i = 3°, d = 346°). This pattern is similar to those
documented by Tsoar (1983: 570, Figure 4) over a linear (self) dune.

DISCUSSION

Lee-side flow deflection and secondary flow structures

Flow-form interactions over complex dune terrain generate significant alterations in the
magnitude and direction of near-surface sand-transporting winds. This results from topographically
forced variations in the pressure field over dunes and creates various secondary flow patterns
including flow accelerations on the stoss slope; flow separation, expansion, and reversal in the
lee; and directional variations of attached, near-surface flow that is deflected or steered in
directions that differ significantly from the regional wind (Walker and Nickling, 2002; Walker et
al., 2006; Walker et al., 2009). Research on flow over coastal foredunes has shown that,
generally, winds approaching at an oblique angle tend to be deflected toward crest-normal
[Svasek and Terwindt, 1974; Jackson, 1977; Rasmussen, 1989; Arens et al., 1995] and that this
steering effect is greatest when incident angles are between 30 to 60° to the crestline. Winds
with approach angles less than 30° (i.e., highly oblique) are often deflected parallel to the crest
[e.g. Mikkelsen, 1989; Arens et al., 1995]. Crestward steering on the stoss slope occurs
essentially because perturbation pressures and resulting topographic flow accelerations
increase the crest-normal (transverse) component of local flow vectors up the stoss slope
(Jackson, 1977; Tsoar, 1983). Beyond the crest, abrupt changes in surface slope and potential
flow separation, expansion, and deceleration cause a reduction in the transverse component of
local flow vectors that, in turn, causes flow to deflect in a more crest-parallel direction (Tsoar,
1983; Walker, 1999). The morphodynamic implications of flow deflection are twofold. First,
under increasingly oblique (i.e., less crest-transverse) conditions, the dune appears less steep
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to oncoming flow, which results in less flow acceleration up the stoss slope and, in turn, reduced sand transport potential up the stoss slope. Second, incident flow angle determines the effective fetch for sand transport development (see discussion in Walker et al., 2006). In coastal settings, offshore to oblique onshore winds can be steered alongshore on the beach and even deflected back toward the foredune, resulting in sand being cycled along the beach and back to the dune, thus promoting dune maintenance (Walker et al., 2006).

This study shows that the degree of flow deflection is a function of the incident wind angle and dune form, which corresponds with observations by Sweet and Kocurek (1990) and Lancaster (1995). More transverse incident winds appear to be deflected more than airflow aligned oblique or parallel to the crest. This results from steeper pressure gradients over the dune under more transverse flow conditions. In other words, the apparent 'steepness' (or aspect ratio) of the dune as experienced by incoming airflow varies significantly depending on the incidence angle and dune height; airflow perpendicular to the crest will encounter a much steeper dune and will generate a larger separation cell than will airflow that approaches at more oblique incident angles [Baddock et al., 2011; Walker and Hesp. In press]. This can result in less flow deceleration in the lower stoss and reduced transport potential up the stoss slope (see discussion in Walker et al., 2006). In this study, lee-side flows were fastest when incident flow over the crest was crest-parallel, reflecting a lower aspect ratio and reduced, or non-existent separation (and recirculation) cell.

Over transverse dunes, Sweet and Kocurek (1990) documented that lee slope windspeeds approached zero as incident angle became more transverse (i.e., as i approaches 90°) due to flow separation. Lee slope speeds increased rapidly between i = 70 to 90°. Sweet and Kocurek (1990) concluded that dune shape was an important control on lee flow response such that dunes with low aspect ratios and/or oblique incident winds favoured attached and relatively high lee-side surface windspeeds while dunes with high aspect ratios and/or transverse incident flows demonstrated lower speeds (e.g. Best and Kostaschuk, 2002). In terms of sediment transport,
Sweet and Kocurek (1990) observed that deflected lee flow speeds were 60-80% of crest speeds and were associated with along-slope sediment transport. Transverse flows favoured sediment avalanching and fallout. Near-surface flow patterns for a full range of events spanning transverse to highly oblique incident wind conditions documented in this study show that lee-side flow deflection often aligned sub-parallel to the crest. At three sites in the Netherlands, Arens et al. (1995) found similar deflection to crest-parallel in the lee of coastal foredunes during offshore winds, but towards crest-normal during onshore winds. Over a 12-m high, steep foredune in Prince Edward Island, Canada, Walker et al. (2009) observed significant onshore steering of near-surface flow towards crest-normal. In an earlier study on a nearby 9-m high foredune, Walker et al. (2006) observed offshore to oblique onshore winds being steered alongshore on the beach and even deflected back toward the foredune, resulting in sand being cycled along the beach and back to the dune, thus promoting dune maintenance. A series of studies at Magilligan Strand, Northern Ireland, have shown that lee-side flow response is also governed by variations in morphology as well as incident flow angle (Lynch et al., 2008; 2010; Jackson et al., 2011), where attached and deflected lee-side flow is thought to arise when the abrupt break in slope required for separation is absent. Lynch et al. (2010) observed varying flow response over dunes of different heights and shapes. A tall (11.4-m) sharp-crested foredune produced flow separation and a recirculation cell. A smaller (6.6-m tall), rounded foredune exhibited attached lee-side flow that was deflected towards crest-parallel, while flow over a lower (4.6-m tall), incipient foredune exhibited no flow deflection in the lee. In an earlier study, Lynch et al. (2008) demonstrated that offshore winds that result in flow reversal do not significantly contribute to sand drift potential. Instead, it was winds deflected alongshore that were associated with the most saltation activity. They conclude therefore, that these deflected flows should be considered a key variable when linking micro- and meso-scale sediment transport studies.
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In this study, a twofold increase in the amount of lee-side flow deflection for obliquity angles in the range of 20 to 40° was observed (Figure 8). Others have found that the magnitude of deflected flow on the lee slope is a cosine function of the angle of incidence and crest speed (Tsoar, 1983; Tsoar et al., 1985; Sweet and Kocurek, 1990; Lancaster, 1995). A wind tunnel study by Tsoar et al. (1985) explored the influence of 3 incident flow angles (15°, 25°, and 35° relative to the crest) on lee-side flow separation and deflection over linear dunes. They found that lee-side flow deflects from the incident direction toward that aligned with the crest and that sediment transport patterns follow this deflection pattern. Earlier field research by Tsoar (1983) showed that the rate of sand transport increased for more oblique angles when $\theta < 40°$ and that deposition on the lee flank of a longitudinal (seif) dune occurred as incident flow angles became more transverse. Over transverse dunes, Sweet and Kocurek (1990) documented that lee slope windspeeds approached zero as incident angle became more transverse due to flow separation. Lee slope speeds increased rapidly as $\theta$ approached 70° and Sweet and Kocurek (1990) concluded that dune shape was an important control on lee flow response such that dunes with low aspect ratios and/or oblique incident winds favoured attached and relatively high lee-side surface windspeeds, while dunes with high aspect ratios and/or transverse incident flows demonstrated lower speeds. These observations are similar to those made in fluvial environments (e.g. Best and Kostaschuk, 2002; Kostaschuk et al., 2009), where flow over low angle dunes tends to remain attached and flow reversal is relatively rare. Compiling data from several rivers, Kostaschuk (2005) concluded that deposition of suspended sediment in the trough and on the dune lee side acts to reduce dune height and lower the lee slope angle, thus underscoring earlier studies (e.g. Smith and McLean, 1977; Kostaschuk and Villard, 1996; Kostaschuk, 2000) that showed that increased suspended sand transport relative to bedload, was associated with flatter dunes and lower lee slope angles. In terms of aeolian sediment transport, Sweet and Kocurek (1990) observed that deflected lee flow speeds were 60 to 80% of crest speeds and were associated with appreciable along-slope sediment transport.
sediment transport, whereas, transverse flows favoured sediment avalanching and fallout deposition in weak back-eddy flows.

In terms of three-dimensional flow behaviour, results presented here indicate that lee-side flow deflection is greatest at the surface near the base of the lee slope and on the interdune corridor upwind of flow re-attachment where maximum flow expansion and deceleration occur. In this region, the crest-parallel component of the net flow vector has a greater effect on flow deflection, thereby promoting more along-dune oriented flow within the separation cell. As surface flow accelerates beyond re-attachment, vectors gradually deflect back toward bedform-normal up the stoss slope of the downwind dune in response to increases in the crest-transverse component of the net flow vector. This rather simple deflection mechanism explains why, in part, longitudinal flows are observed in the lee (Sharp, 1966; Tsoar, 1983; Tsoar et al., 1985) and, in this case, under relatively transverse incident flow conditions.

The lee-side flow responses and flow visualizations described above were used to compile a differential flow deflection model in the lee of transverse and relatively straight-crested dunes, which is demonstrated conceptually in Figure 9. Based on several non-continuous measurements, which is still common in aeolian research as continuous profiling technologies do not yet exist, this model assumes a linear change in deflection and flow speed with increases in height above the surface. In this conceptual model, flow deflection on the lee slope occurs toward an angle that is more oblique than that of the incident wind, thereby increasing the bedform-parallel component of secondary flow and, if competent, sand transport (discussed further in the following section). Flow vectors and visualization observations both show that the amount of lee-side flow deflection decreases with height above the surface such that flow at/above dune height is less deflected than near-surface flows in response to relatively faster overshot flow above the separation cell (c.f. Walker and Nickling, 2002). Hence, dune-parallel components of the local flow vectors are proportionately less. The relative amount of deflection also increases with incident speed and dune
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height due to the greater overall speed differentials (i.e., greater flow sheltering) that occur in the separation region. Under transverse flow conditions, a high-speed shear zone extends further leeward with increased incident speed, causing the point of maximum deflection above dune height to progress downwind (see Figure 4). Thus, maximum deflection occurs closer to the dune near the surface and further downwind in the upper wake region (approximately over the toe of the downwind dune). This differential deflection mechanism likely contributes to the development of roller and/or helical vortices in the separation region. Thus, even under relatively transverse flow conditions, longitudinal components of secondary flow patterns may contribute significantly to lee-side momentum transfers and must be considered for characterization and modeling of flow and sediment transport continuity.

Results from other studies show that lee-side flow shifts from a closed-loop recycling roller vortex to a longitudinal (along-dune) helix (c.f. Walker and Nickling, 2002) under slight increases in flow obliquity from transverse (i.e. from $i = 90 \pm 10^\circ$ to $\pm 20^\circ$). For instance, profiles and vectors for event 4 ($i = 110^\circ$, Figures 5, 7) suggest that flow is separated and has a strong deflected component in the lee. However, the separation region appears to be less extensive, particularly over the larger (east) dune transect. Qualitative flow streamer visualization observations under similar conditions (not shown here) indicated that the helical vortex was not only less extensive, but also less coherent (i.e. intermittent and poorly developed). Although a recycling helical pattern was observed, it is suggested that this pattern is an intermittent transitional phase between the roller vortex and the attached, deflected flow of more oblique incident conditions. This does not detract, however, from the observation that both deflected along-dune and recycling upslope flow and sediment transport may occur under relatively transverse incident flows (i.e. $70 < i \leq 110^\circ$) (c.f. Walker, 1999). It follows that, under transporting windspeeds, these patterns could contribute appreciably to dune sediment dynamics, morphology, and migration.
Implications for dune migration

The lee-side flow patterns discussed above have important implications for sediment mass transport patterns and the resulting migration of closely spaced transverse dune forms. This study and concurrent research on sand transport patterns by Walker (1999) at the same site shows that competent, deflected secondary interdune flow patterns can transport appreciable amounts of sediment parallel to the crest along the interdune corridor, even under relatively transverse flow conditions. Morphodynamically, then, these patterns may have a significant influence on the direction of dune migration. For instance, along-dune oriented sediment transport and ripple migration were observed during a high-speed storm event that caused migration of the dune crest by approximately 1.25 m downwind (Figure 10). Direct measurements of lee-side sediment flux during subsequent transporting events at the same study site (Walker, 1999) suggest a three-dimensional sediment budget that, under relatively consistent conditions, would promote migration in an oblique direction, rather than in a bedform-normal direction (e.g. Rubin and Hunter, 1987; Rubin and Ikeda, 1990; Lancaster, 1991) (Figure 10). This study shows that in addition to conventional fallout deposition and lee slope avalanching (Anderson, 1988; Anderson and Walker, 2006), other longitudinally oriented transport mechanisms (e.g. along-dune ripple migration, deflected interdune saltation and fallout transport) contribute to transverse dune migration and morphodynamics.

Figure 11 is a stylized empirical model of near surface flow (solid arrows) and deflected sediment transport vectors (dashed short arrows) that occur in the lee of relatively straight transverse dunes. Sediment transport vectors were are interpreted and/or directly measured from ripples and/or trap measurements, see Walker, 1999 and their patterns represent where sediment mass is directed during the respective driving incident flow conditions. As incident flow becomes less transverse to the crest, lee-side separation cells become less extensive, and flow remains attached for nearly crest-parallel incident flows (e.g. i = 140°-160°). Similarly, as incident...
flow becomes less transverse, flow speeds in the lee increase due to the apparent reduction in dune aspect ratio. The effectiveness or overall contribution of these mechanisms, and their relation to migration rates, depends though on secondary flow magnitude, duration, and incident direction and requires more extensive research. Future work should also incorporate issues of dune spacing, as Baddock et al. (2007) have shown that interdune dynamics are strongly influenced by interactions between reattachment and downwind dune stoss positions.

CONCLUSIONS

In order to conserve fluid momentum and sediment mass, deflected components of secondary flow and interdune sand transport must be considered in approaches to model airflow and sediment transport over dunes. Flow separation, reversal, and lateral deflection cause three-dimensional variations that, in terms of a budget approach, represent a deficit of fluid mass and transported sediment from simple two-dimensional models. Even under relatively transverse flow conditions, where lee-side flow above the separation cell and downstream of reattachment may travel in an essentially streamwise direction, lateral deflection occurs in near-surface surface flow and resulting sediment transport. Thus, budget approaches to conceptualizing flow and net sediment transport over dunes should not be viewed simply as a two-dimensional system of bedform-normal components. This study has demonstrated that flow over transverse dunes is deflected in the lee to a degree that increases as incident flow becomes less transverse. As flow becomes increasingly oblique to the crest, the effective aspect ratio (and, hence, form roughness) encountered by the wind decreases and patterns of flow acceleration, separation, and potential recirculation on sediment transport are diminished. Further, oblique incident conditions offer a greater fetch. The implications for sediment transport and dune migration are that transport potential increases with increasing flow obliquity (towards crest-parallel) compared with transverse flows, and that transverse dunes can migrate sideways.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Map of study area showing location of the sampling array within transverse ridges on the northern end of the Silver Peak dunefield in western Nevada, USA.

Figure 2: Instrument deployment over west and east sampling transects including location of the flow visualization tower array. Instrument profiles characterize flow within discrete flow regions shown in the upper figure. Vertical axis indicates height of instruments above the lowest elevation in the interdune.

Figure 3: Study site setup. Unwin view (a) of instrument arrays (E=east, W=west), observation tower (O), flow streamer towers (F); b) dense spacing of vanes and anemometers in the lee on the east transect; c) view of transverse ridges looking NE into the study area (from Walker and Nickling, 2002).

Figure 4: Flow visualization methods. a) 12-m observation tower with perch for aerial viewing of streamer response; b) smoke tracer visualization of lee-side flow patterns and extent of separation cell; c) flow streamer towers extending 9 m leeward from the crest. Five heights relative to the dune are identified by different symbols. Observation of streamer deflection and smoke patterns were used to complement limited wind vane data in the lee.

Figure 5: Time-averaged wind speed (u/u_{10}) profiles for a series of events (2, 4, 7) spanning transverse to crest-parallel flow conditions. Note that vertical axis shows sampling heights relative to underlying surface not the interdune datum.

Figure 6: Time-averaged flow vectors for events 1 through 3. Upper values indicate direction (SD) and lower italicised values are speed and [coefficient of variation]. Vectors show directions at 3 levels: surface, crest height (± 30 cm), and at half dune height. White arrows at crest locations are at outer flow (5.2h on east and 4.6h on west profiles). Dashed vertical line shows lee slope base and interdune.

Figure 7: Time-averaged flow vectors for events 4 and 5. Upper values indicate direction (SD) and lower italicised values are speed and [coefficient of variation]. Vectors show directions at 3 levels: surface, crest height (± 30 cm), and at half dune height. White arrows at crest locations are at outer flow (5.2h on east and 4.6h on west profiles). Dashed vertical line shows lee slope base and interdune.

Figure 8: Time-averaged flow vectors for events 6 and 7. Upper values indicate direction (SD) and lower italicised values are speed and [coefficient of variation]. Vectors show directions at 3 levels: surface, crest height (± 30 cm), and at half dune height. White arrows at crest locations are at outer flow (5.2h on east and 4.6h on west profiles). Dashed vertical line shows lee slope base and interdune.

Figure 9: Differential deflection of lee-side flow over closely spaced dunes for various incident angles. Vectors are proportionally sized to normalized windspeed and show directional variation from the surface (black) to above dune height (grey). Intermittent (white) and transitional (lines) vectors are also shown.

Figure 10: Evidence for lee-side sediment transport processes over a transverse dune in the Silver Peak dunefield, Nevada. A) active flow separation, suspended fallout, and deflected ripples formed under approximately crest-transverse flow (i ≈ 90°); b) along-dune oriented ripples on the lee slope looking east; c) small, bifurcated ripples on the upper lee slope and larger, coarse granule ripples on the base (5 cm lens cap for scale). All photos taken in May 1997. Photos b and c were taken after the transporting event shown in a.
Figure 11: Lateral diversion of secondary lee-side flows and surface winds in response to various incidence angles over closely spaced dunes. Short arrows indicate sediment transport direction and dashed arrows indicate intermittent transport.
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Table 1: Summary of wind events recorded on east (E) and west (W) sampling transects ordered from relatively transverse conditions to crest-parallel flow. Note that flow from the west is 0°, east is 180°. Data are based on 1 minute averages sampled at 1 Hz (e.g. $u_{5.2h}$ = incident speed at 3.8 m above east dune crest, SD = standard deviation, CV = coefficient of variation = SD$_{u_{5.2h}}$/$u_{5.2h}$). All events were recorded on the same day (1997 JD 155).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time [duration]</th>
<th>Direction (°)</th>
<th>SD (°)</th>
<th>Incident speed ($u_{5.2h}$ m s$^{-1}$) [average]</th>
<th>CV ($u_{i}$)</th>
<th>crest speed $u_{0.3}$/$u_{12}$</th>
<th>lee-side speeds $u_{0.3}$/$u_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>19:18 - 19:35</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.8 – 5.5 [4.59]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.41 – 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1W</td>
<td>[0:19]</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.2 – 5.6 [4.84]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.47 – 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>19:43 – 20:01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.4 – 7.7 [6.54]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2W</td>
<td>[0:20]</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.6 – 8.0 [8.91]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.37 – 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>21:03 – 21:23</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.5 – 10.0 [8.83]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.27 – 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3W</td>
<td>[0:21]</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.2 – 10.5 [9.17]</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.35 – 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E</td>
<td>3:10 - 3:33</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.9 – 5.6 [6.27]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.44 – 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4W</td>
<td>[0:24]</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.5 – 7.3 [6.52]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.54 – 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5E</td>
<td>4:51 – 5:11</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7 – 6.7 [5.74]</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.52 – 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5W</td>
<td>[0:21]</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.9 – 7.1 [5.98]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.53 – 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6E</td>
<td>2:34 - 2:52</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4 – 7.6 [6.52]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.59 – 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6W</td>
<td>[0:19]</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.1 – 7.3 [6.32]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.61 – 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7E</td>
<td>1:29 - 1:35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.5 – 5.5 [4.96]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.63 – 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7W</td>
<td>[0:07]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.9 – 5.1 [4.47]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59 – 0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>